Committee: Union/Employee Consultation Committee Agenda Item

No.: 6.

Date: 14<sup>th</sup> March 2013 Category

Subject: Equalities Monitoring Report – April to June 2012 Status Open

Report by: Senior Human Resources Officer

Other Officers Equalities Monitoring Officer involved: Human Resources Officer

Director Chief Executive Officer

Relevant Councillor E Watts

Portfolio Holder

### RELEVANT CORPORATE AIMS

SOCIAL INCLUSION – Promoting fairness, equality and lifelong learning STRATEGIC ORGANISATIONAL DEVELOPMENT – Continually improving our organisation.

Ensuring that the Council has a framework in place for monitoring recruitment and selection, workforce breakdown, training, disciplinaries, grievances, labour turnover, efficiency and ill-health retirements by ethnic origin, gender, disability, age, sexual orientation and religion and pay and grading information in relation to market supplements, and appointments within the grade

# **TARGETS**

Monitoring data will contribute towards Level 3 of the Local Government Equalities Standard

### **VALUE FOR MONEY**

The monitoring of statistics/trends enables efficient and effective corrective action to be taken where necessary.

# **THE REPORT**

To submit for Members attention monitoring data on the Council's performance on equalities issues in relation to its employment practices. This report does not cover corporate policy/service delivery monitoring.

It is recognised good practice to have a workforce that is broadly representative of the local community. With regard to the local community, the 2001 census provides the following information: -

- 1. The local population is 73,200, of which 43,172 are economically active.
- 2. The local economically active black and ethnic population is 0.85% of the economically active population that equates to 368 people.
- 3. 22% of people of working age had a limiting long-term illness comparable to the definition of disability in the Disability Discrimination Act.
- 4. An analysis of Bolsover District's population and workforce in respect of religion/beliefs is as follows:-

	Other	Christian	Hindu	Sikh	Buddhist	Muslim	Jewish	Prefer Not to	No Religion
								Say	
Population#		78.10%*	0.08%	0.12%	0.07%	0.09%	0.02%	8.80%	12.61%
Workforce##	1.57%	46.47%	0	0	0.31%	0	0	34.07%	17.58%

#based on 2001 Census

## based on employee personal data audit conducted in June 2010.

# **Performance Indicators**

The following table identifies all performance indicators relevant to Equalities:-

INDICATOR	MEDIAN DERBYSHIRE AUTHORITIES 2009/10	AUTHORITY TARGET 2011/12	OUT-TURN APRIL TO JUNE 2012
<b>HR11A</b> - Percentage of top 5% of earners that are women	26.14%	45%	45.16%
<b>HR11B</b> - Percentage of top 5% of earners from black or ethnic communities	0%	0%	0%
<b>HR11C</b> - Percentage of top 5% of earners who are disabled	4.50%	7%	6.45%

<sup>\*</sup>which is 6.2% higher than the national figure of 71.8%.

HR16A - Percentage of disabled	5.25%	5.70%	6.95%
employees (permanent employees)			
HR17A - Percentage of employees	1.55%	0.9%	0.8%
from minority ethnic communities'			

# Information and Analysis Recruitment/Selection

# **Apprentices**

For the period 1<sup>st</sup> April to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2012 there were no Apprenticeship vacancies advertised. For the period 1<sup>st</sup> April to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2011 there was 1 Apprenticeship vacancy advertised, 1 application received. There was 1 candidate shortlisted. There was 1 successful candidate.

**Applicants Breakdown** 

7 10 0 11 0 0x 11 10 L		-							
Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2011	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%

Year	Heterosexual	Gay	Lesbian	Bisexual	Prefer Not to Say
2012	0	0	0	0	0
2011	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Year	Christian	Buddhist	Hindu	Jewish	Muslim	Sikh	Any other	None/Prefer Not to Say
2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2011	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

**Shortlisted Candidates Breakdown** 

Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2011/12	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%

Year	Heterosexual	Gay	Lesbian	Bisexual	Prefer Not to Say
2012	0	0	0	0	0
2011	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Year	Christian	Buddhist	Hindu	Jewish	Muslim	Sikh	Any other	None/Prefer Not to Say
2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2011	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

**Successful Candidates** 

<del>Gagggeral (</del>	Janaia	<u> </u>							
Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2011	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%

Year	Heterosexual	Gay	Lesbian	Bisexual	Prefer Not to Say
2012	0	0	0	0	0
2011	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Year	Christian	Buddhist	Hindu	Jewish	Muslim	Sikh	Any other	None/Prefer Not to Say
2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2011	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

### **Permanent Employees**

For the period 1<sup>st</sup> April to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2012 there were 6 vacancies advertised, 73 applications received, 18 candidates shortlisted and 4 successful candidates. (Please note two of the vacancies received no applications) For the period 1<sup>st</sup> April to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2011 there were 5 vacancies advertised, 122 applications received, 46 candidates shortlisted and 7 successful candidates. On two occasions there was more than one successful candidate per vacancy.

**Applicants Breakdown** 

Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
2012	98.63%	1.37%	36.99%	63.01%	0%	19.18%	42.46%	26.03%	12.33%
2011	93.44%	6.56%	54.92%	45.08%	4.92%	40.98%	30.33%	18.03%	10.66%

Year	Heterosexual	Gay	Lesbian	Bisexual	Prefer Not to Say
2012	87.67%	1.37%	0%	0%	10.96%
2011	83.61%	0%	0.82%	0.82%	14.75%

Year	Christian	Buddhist	Hindu	Jewish	Muslim	Sikh	Any other	None/Prefer Not to Say
2012	57.53%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	42.47%
2011	39.34%	2.46%	0.82%	0%	0%	0%	0%	57.38%

**Shortlisted Candidates Breakdown** 

Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
2012	94.44%	5.56%	50%	50%	0%	5.56%	50%	33.33%	11.11%
2011	100%	0%	58.69%	41.31%	6.52%	47.83%	28.26%	10.87%	13.04%

Year	Heterosexual	Gay	Lesbian	Bisexual	Prefer Not to Say
2012	94.44%	0%	0%	0%	5.56%
2011	82.61%	0%	2.17%	2.17%	13.05%

Year	Christian	Buddhist	Hindu	Jewish	Muslim	Sikh	Any other	None/Prefer Not to Say
2012	72.22%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	27.78%
2011	32.61%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	67.39%

**Successful Candidates** 

<del></del>									
Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
2012	100%	0%	25%	75%	0%	0%	50%	25%	25%
2011	100%	0%	85.71%	14.29%	0%	57.14%	28.57%	0%	14.29%

Year	Heterosexual	Gay	Lesbian	Bisexual	Prefer Not to Say
2012	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
2011	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Year	Christian	Buddhist	Hindu	Jewish	Muslim	Sikh	Any other	None/Prefer Not to Say
2012	75%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%
2011	42.86%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	57.14%

**Workforce Monitoring** 

Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
2012	99.26%	0.74%	52.96%	47.04%	7.78%	12.22%	22.96%	30%	34.82%
2011	99.19%	0.81%	55.12%	44.88%	6.99%	14.31%	23.25%	28.13%	34.31%

Year	Heterosexual	Gay	Lesbian	Bisexual	Prefer Not to Say
2012	68.33%	0%	0%	0.19%	31.48%
2011	66.02%	0.16%	0%	0.49%	33.33%

Year	Christian	Buddhist	Hindu	Jewish	Muslim	Sikh	Any other	None	Prefer not to say
2012	52.22%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1.30%	18.52%	27.96%
2011	46.03%	0.32%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1.46%	19.67%	32.52%

Employee numbers are based on headcount @ 30<sup>th</sup> June 2012 with comparative figures @ 30<sup>th</sup> June 2011.

Training/Development
90 places have been 'taken up' with regard to off the job training. The breakdown of attendees is as follows:-

Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
2012	100%	0%	36.67%	63.33%	14.44%	0%	21.11%	33.33%	45.56%
2011	98.36%	1.64%	72.13%	27.87%	3.28%	16.80%	23.36%	28.69%	31.15%

Year	Heterosexual	Gay	Lesbian	Bisexual	Prefer Not to Say
2012	75.55%	0%	0%	0%	24.45%
2011	58.61%	0%	0%	0.82%	40.57%

Year	Christian	Buddhist	Hindu	Jewish	Muslim	Seikh	Any other	None
2012	54.45%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1.11%	44.44%
2011	40.98%	0.41%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1.23%	57.38%

# **Discipline**

There was one disciplinary action during this period, the breakdown is as follows:-

Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
2012	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
2011	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

<u>Grievances (including Harassment/Bullying)</u> There were no grievances lodged during this period.

Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
2012	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
2011	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%

### **Labour Turnover**

There have been 44 leavers during this period, the breakdown is as follows: -

Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
2012	100%	0%	59.09%	40.91%	6.82%	50%	15.91%	13.64%	20.45%
2011	100%	0%	70%	30%	0%	30%	10%	0%	60%

# **Voluntary Leavers**

There have been 2 voluntary leavers during this period, the breakdown is as follows:-

Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
2012	100%	0%	50%	50%	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%
2011	100%	0%	40%	60%	0%	20%	20%	0%	60%

# **Dismissals**

There were no dismissals during this period.

Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
2012	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
2011	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%

<u>Redundancy/Efficiency of the Service</u>
There was one redundancy during this period, the breakdown is as follows:-

	Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
ſ	2012	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
ſ	2011	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%

### **III-Health Retirements**

There were no ill health retirements during this period.

Year	White	Ethnic	Male	Female	Disabled	16-24	25-39	40-49	50+
2012	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
2011	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

# **ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION**

Analysis of the statistics/information presented/possible changes to policy to improve performance.

# **IMPLICATIONS**

Financial - None Legal - None Environmental - None Human Resources - None

### **RECOMMENDATION that**

Recommendations are received as to improvements to current performance levels.

SOURCE DOCUMENTS: FILE REFERENCES: